# Wolverhampton City Council

# **OPEN INFORMATION ITEM**

# **Audit Committee**

Date **17 DECEMBER 2012** 

Originating Service Group(s) **DELIVERY** 

Contact Officer(s)/ DAVID JOHNSTON

Telephone Number(s) 4565

Title/Subject Matter CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

### **Recommendation**

That the Committee notes and comments on the current list of Corporate Risks as agreed by the Strategic Executive Board and the Corporate Delivery Board and recorded in the attached Corporate Risk Register and the actions being taken to manage them.

#### PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND 1.

- 1.1 The Assurance Framework is a key element in the Council's system of internal control and is designed to identify, evaluate the impact of and manage the highlevel risks (Corporate Risks) to the delivery of the Corporate Objectives
- 1.2 It should be noted that:
  - i) Corporate Risks are events that could impact on the Council's achievement of the aims of the Corporate Plan and also its longer term objectives.
  - they include significant events that could impact upon the ii) infrastructure and the efficient operation of the Council
  - iii) these risks should cover the full range of principal objectives
  - these are risks that are likely to require ongoing control and are iv) unlikely to be fully resolved.
- 1.3 Below this level the Directorates maintain operational risk registers that summarise the risks to the achievement of Directorate objectives. This process ensures that risks are captured and monitored and that appropriate actions are taken to manage them within individual service areas

#### 2. **DETAILS**

- 2.1 Following the approach agreed by the Strategic Executive Board/Corporate Delivery Board and in accordance with the Risk Management Policy and Strategy the Corporate Risk Register agreed in August 2012 is to be reviewed on a quarterly basis.
- 2.2 Meetings have been held with the relevant Assistant Directors and the Assistant Chief Executive in respect of the Corporate Risks directly related to the portfolios of services which provide the key control measures.

### Discussion points:

- i) the completeness of the Risk Description
- ii) the scoring probability and impact
- iii) the current control measures managing the risk
- iv) any additional controls which should be implemented

The results of the recent review are incorporated in the attached updated Corporate Risk Register agreed by the Strategic Directors and Assistant Directors. (Appendix 1)

2.3 The updated register now includes additional risks identified since August 2012 and these are described under CR10 Assets and CR11 Assets.

2.4 Additionally in view of their importance the Corporate Risk - Corporate **Responsibilities** has been separated into two distinct risks;

**CR13 - Health and Safety** 

**CR14 - Equalities** 

#### 3. **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

3.1 The financial implications of the management of the Corporate Risks are individually identified in the Risk Control plans. [MH/30112012/I]

#### 4. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

4.1 The legal implications of the management of the Corporate Risks are individually identified in the Risk Control plans. [MW/29112012]

#### 5. **EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 The equality implications of the management of the Corporate Risks are individually identified in the Risk Control plans

#### 6. **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

6.1 The environmental implications of the management of the Corporate Risks are individually identified in the Risk Control plans

### The Governance & Assurance Framework

- Corporate **Objectives**
- o Corporate (Strategic) Risks
- Controls to Mitigate the Risks
- Sources of **Assurance**

**Action Plans** 

Response

o Identifying and addressing the **Gaps** 

# The Assurance Framework Life Cycle

| Identification of             | Corporate <b>Objectives</b>   | the Corporate Plan  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Assessment of                 | Corporate (Strategic) Risks   | Risk Workshops  |
| Identification of             | Key Controls  | Risk Management Plans   |
| Identification of             | Sources of <b>Assurance</b>   | Service Plans, Project Plans, Corporate Policies, External Reviews                            |
| Analysis of                   | Assurance on Key Controls   | Risk Management & Insurance<br>Corporate Risk Management Group<br>Internal and External Audit |
| Reports to Audit Committee on | Assurance on Key Controls Gaps in Key Controls Gaps in Sources of Assurance | Risk Management & Insurance Corporate Risk Management Group Internal and External Audit       |

Directors, Management Teams, Project Teams

### Wolverhampton City Council - Corporate Risk Register

Corporate Risks are events that could impact on the Council's achievement of the aims of the Corporate Plan and also its longer term objectives.

They include significant events that could impact upon the infrastructure and the efficient operation of the Council

The Corporate Aims

- Encouraging Enterprise and Business
   Empowering People and Communities
- 3. Re-invigorating the City
- 4. Confident, Capable Council

Profile of Risk Scores

CR2 CR3 CR4 CR5 CR6 CR7 CR9 CR10 CR11 CR12 CR13 CR15 CR16 CR18 CR20 CR21

|         |                                 |                  | Identification  |  |                 |        | Orig        | ginal Evale | uation     | Mitigating actions   | Cu | rrent Ev    | luation    | Review Position  |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------|---|--|-----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|--|----|-------------|------------|--|
| Risk No | Corporate<br>Category           | Corporate<br>Aim | Risk - Cause / Event  | Risk - Consequence / Impact  | Risk Owner      | Status | Probability | Impact      | Risk score | Treatment / Controls   |    | Probability | Risk Score |  |
| CR1     | Legal,<br>Customer /<br>Citizen | 2                | Safeguarding Safeguarding procedures and quality assurance processes fail to adequately manage safeguarding issues, and children and vulnerable adults are not protected from abuse | Consequence: Death, serious abuse or injury to a vulnerable child or adult where the Council has not completely fulfilled its responsibilities Impact: Reduced public confidence; emergency measures; increased inspection.  | AD V Griffin    | Open   | 3           | 5           | 15         | Multi-agency Safeguarding Boards in place which receive performance management reports     Safeguarding Procedures, monitored during ongoing supervision, and via service quality monitoring arrangements including case file audits.     Children and Adults Safeguarding Practice Guidance and Procedures in place for Partners     Sharing information frameworks in place     Comprehensive and robust recruitment and training and development policies for staff, including safer employment practices and arrangements for induction and ongoing development. Clear arrangements in place for managing allegations against staff and whistle blowing procedures in place     Effective implementation of the Common Assessment Framework to identify children at risk. Child protection procedures in place including collaboration with the Police     Continuous process of updating practice and procedures, linking to local and national statutory guidance, including learning from local and national reviews such as Serious Case Reviews |    | 5           | 15         | Nov 2012  Safeguarding peer reviews by LAG to take place June 2013.  Self Assessment and identified gaps to be undertaken by all partner agencies including WICK from November 2012 onwards  Safeguarding Boards receive reports of Serious Case Reviews and have a process of applying lessons learned to WICK and Partners agency practice |
| CR2     | Social,<br>Customer/<br>Citizen | 2                | Life chances for young people Failure to meet the educational needs of young people and provide them with post- education opportunities   | Consequence: Reduced life chances for young people Potential for long-term inequalities in our communities: Lack of employment opportunities leading to poorer mental health, increase in Nets, loss of community cohesion. possible increase in substance misuse and mental health issues.  Impact: Increased future costs, Increased demand for services | AD<br>TWestwood | Open   | 3           | 3           | 9          | Re-engineering curriculum delivery in schools to maximise opportunities for young people Appropriate challenge issued by the Local Authority to underperforming schools Local Authority brokerage of additional support to underperforming schools Facilitating dialogue between schools and local business to identify skills necessary to support business enterprise  | 3  | 3           | 9          | Nov 2012 Controls ongoing  |

| CR3 | Social,<br>Customer/<br>Citizen | 1, 2 | Increase in unemployment Lack of employment opportunities for local people unable to compete in the labour market  | Consequence: Potential for long-term inequalities in our communities: leading to poorer mental health, increase in Nets, increase in young people leaving the City,  | AD<br>T Westwood      | Open | 3 | 3 | 9  | Use of Council procurement opportunities (such as BSF) to increase employment chances     Greater emphasis on up-skilling young people Skills Strategy   | 3 | 3 | 9  | Nov 2012 Controls ongoing  |
|-----|---------------------------------|------|--|--|-----------------------|------|---|---|----|--|---|---|----|--|
| 167 |                                 |      |  | loss of community cohesion.  Impact: Increased future costs, increased demand for services   |                       |      |   |   |    | Proposed control  • Seek to maximise apprenticeships around Section 106 agreements   |   |   |    |  |
| CR4 | Social,<br>Customer/<br>Citizen | 2,3  | Demographic Pressures  Demographic pressures — increasing numbers of elderly service users; increasing numbers of service users with learning disabilities living longer, increasing number of looked after children | Consequence: Increased future costs, increased demand for services Impact: The needs of adults and children can not be fully met Adverse affect on strategic objectives Increased risk to vulnerable adults and children | AD<br>J Welsby/A Ivko | Open | 4 | 3 | 12 | Existing  • The issues of Adult Social Pressures have been identified and mapped over preceding years – principal control measure is the use of agreed hypothecated incremental funding to support the existing Adult Strategies and Programmes.  • LAC – early intervention strategy and action plans  • Improvements in place to accelerate the 'permanency' process – adoption or other care placement.  • Strategies to manage the financial pressures  • Analysis of previous years to identify trends/patterns | 4 | 3 | 12 | Nov 2012  • Ongoing reviews of the strategic financial controls in each Service area — a move to a more 'holistic' approach to pressures and long term issues.  • Independent research into the LAC profile in Wolverhampton carried out  • Further research has now been commissioned to refine our information and knowledge  • External review of our assessment and understanding of our LAC profile carried recently validates our position  • Additional research into different early intervention strategies — 'can we do something different'  • Unpredictable budget pressures continue irrespective of 'modelling'  • LAC Transformation Programme in place |
| CR5 | Social,<br>Customer/<br>Citizen | 2,3  | Perception of Crime  Community Safety – perception of crime and the reputation of the City   | Consequence; Negative public image of the City as viewed as 'unsafe' Impact Adverse effect on business and retail activity Restriction on growth   | AD<br>K Jones         | Open | 4 | 3 | 12 | Existing  • Partnership working through re-invigorating the City Cluster and Safer Wolverhampton Partnership • Employment of Neighbour hood Wardens • PACT/Tasking Framework • Promotional Campaigns eg 'Keep it Safe'  Proposed • Re-launch 'Keep it Safe' — as Better in Wolverhampton with more positive messages • Work with partners on a joint marketing strategy for the City   | 4 | 3 | 12 | Nov 2012  Increase in SWP performance measures  Keep it safe in Wolverhampton – new task and finish group convened.  New campaign being launched  Ending youth and gang violence programme   |

| 5 Custo | tomer/ | 1, 3 | Regeneration   | Consequence;<br>Key infrastructure developments   | AD.<br>Edwards<br>K Jones  | Open | 3 | 4 | 12 | Existing  | 3 | 4 | 12 | Nov 2012  |
|---------|--------|------|--|---|----------------------------|------|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|---|
| Citize  |        |      | Economic recession has reduced<br>the availability of funding for<br>developments in the City.<br>Funding from external sources is<br>severely limited | and initiatives can not be delivered lmpact; Local economy does not develop; Lack of employment opportunities Negative effect on social and community outcomes                    | N Edw<br>K J               |      |   |   |    | Accessing Growing Places Funding Reorganisation of the Council's operational structure to maximise available opportunities — a 'one council' approach on regeneration Clearer focus on 3 priorities via the agreed City Strategy to maximise the benefit of available funding encourage enterprise and business empowering communities re-invigorating the city  Proposed  Marketing and promotion of the city that reflects the 'real' opportunities available City Centre regeneration programme Bilston regeneration programme Housing regeneration programme A planning platform focussed on development Improve partnerships (HCA/BCC) and a stronger focus on the role of private developers and funders  |   |   |    | GPF – accessed and being utilised 'Mapping' exercise undertaken to determine external funding opportunities Bid for B Broadband as part of 'Superconnected Cities' Accessing Regional Growth Funding Principles of City Funding and Cross-Sector Funding Group to be established supporting the City Strategy New Funding Officer appointed and in post  New Head of Comms and Marketing in post and developing proposals for a City Marketing Strategy — includes a 'city branding' exercise  Prospectus launched and DTZ advising on presentation to maximis development impact Youth Zone planned Redevelopment of the Mander Centre Cand Interchange Proposal Bilston — revision to potential development opportunities Housing Strategy developed in conjunction with the HCA — consideration of self-build opportunities and various funding models |
| 7 Custo | tomer/ | 1, 3 | Business relationships and economic delivery  Perception of the business community that Wolverhampton does not address barriers to growth              | Consequence Key business partners do not engage with the Public Sector to maximise opportunities for collaboration Impact Economic growth and benefits to residents do not emerge | AD<br>N Edwards<br>K Jones | Open | 3 | 4 | 12 | Existing  Proactive dialogue with business with a view to removing barriers and changing perceptions. Proactive dialogue with developers and investors to improve business infrastructure Reorganisation of the Council's operational structure to maximise available opportunities — a 'one council' approach on to economic development and physical regeneration Participation in the Black Country LEP Supporting business led groups and networks eg Business Champions Setting up of a Business Solution Centre, Find it and other business programmes  Proposed Strengthen the marketing and promotion of the city, including commissioning professional advice A planning platform focussed on development Establish Enterprise and Business Clusters | 3 | 4 | 12 | Nov 2012  Active engagement with developers – Delancey, Neptune, Turner Powertrain and various housing developers  Assistant Director, K Jones, now the WCC representative at operational level on the new Black Country Strategy Group – focus marketing and communications targeted at inward investment  Business Solution Centre under review  Increased focus on marketing of i54 and Black Country Enterprise Zone sites  Conducting an Employment Land study in conjunction with South Staffs  Representatives attending Business Cluster meetings forming part the City Strategy  Business Champions meetings progressing - led by large strategic  |
|         |        |      |  |   |                            |      |   |   |    | <ul> <li>Identify and bring forward employment land in<br/>conjunction with South Staffordshire</li> <li>Engagement of professional advisors to<br/>promote the City to a wider audience</li> </ul>   |   |   |    | companies  • WCC providing new and additional support to small business grou  – small 'pump priming' fund plus admin and specialist professional  |

| CR8  | Political,     | 1, 2,3,4 | Welfare Reform   | Impact   | 0 5                        | Open     | 4   | 5    | 20      | Proposed Controls  | 4 | 5 | 20    | Nov 2012  |
|------|----------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------|----------|-----|------|---------|--|---|---|-------|---|
| CR8  | Social.        | 1, 2,3,4 | Wellare Reloffi  | mpact  | s<br>rma                   | Орен     | ľ   |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      | Technological, |          | Welfare Reform Changes   | Loss of income to residents and                        | No.                        |          |     |      |         | <ul> <li>Programme to identify, evaluate and address</li> </ul>                    |   |   |       | Welfare Reform Programme established  |
|      | Legal          |          |  | the ability of households' to meet                     | 55                         |          |     |      |         | the issues;  | ŀ |   | 放方外   |   |
|      | 1              |          | Consequence  | their day to day living expenses –                     |                            |          | l . |      |         | Nominated project managers allocated to  |   | 1 |       | Project work streams underway   |
| 8    | l 1            |          | The state of the s | with no commensurate reduction                         |                            |          | 1   |      |         | identified work streams.   |   |   | [1] ( | A LC  |
|      |                |          | Organisational Changes; changing   | in their costs.  |                            | -        |     |      |         | Corporate team being developed   |   |   |       | Local Council Tax Benefits Scheme     Local Discretionary Grants Scheme (people in crisis)  |
|      |                |          | roles and responsibilities due the   | 100  |                            |          |     |      |         | LSP debate started; combined agency  |   |   |       | Housing Benefit impact and move to Universal Credit   |
|      |                |          | transfer of services and   | Demographics; acceleration of                          |                            |          |     |      |         | responses are needed   |   |   |       | Co-ordinated advice service and communications operations   |
|      |                |          | responsibilities to and from   | existing patterns of deprivation                       |                            |          |     |      |         | Resource mapping taking place  |   |   |       | CO Cramates device service and communications approximation   |
|      |                |          | Central Government   | and inequality. Detrimental                            |                            |          |     |      |         | Comprehensive communication strategy is  |   |   |       | An impact assessment to establish real impact and risk will report in   |
|      | -              |          |  | implications for wellbeing,<br>economic prosperity and |                            | ľ        | i   |      |         | needed to raise people's awareness of this issue.                                  |   |   |       | mid December; this will allow controls and supportive actions to be   |
|      |                |          | Reduced revenues to the Council<br>and partners (Wolverhampton   | educational attainment                                 |                            |          |     |      |         | Need to understand the likely impact across the                                    | 1 |   |       | developed on those most affected.   |
|      |                |          | Homes); impact on revenues into  | educational attainment.                                |                            |          |     |      |         | city   |   |   |       | Much of the impact is caused by external factors as opposed to Council  |
|      |                |          | the Council either as a result of  | Services; increased demand for                         |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       | Policy.   |
| 8    |                |          | reduced funding or income,   | homelessness and housing                               |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
| 4    |                |          | additional expenditure or  | advice; increased demand on                            |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   | 1 |       |   |
|      |                |          | increases in rent and council tax  | schools in low rental areas as                         |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | arrears  | families move; debt and advice                         |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  | centres; strain on adult social care                   |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
| a a  |                |          | Insufficient Service Capacity;   | services where existing                                |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | not having capacity to meet  | accommodation arrangements                             |                            |          | 1   |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | demand, such as welfare advice,  | are not sustainable by users                           |                            |          |     | -    |         |  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | financial advice and support and   |  |                            | İ        |     |      |         |  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | assistance with benefit applications and appeals   |  |                            |          |     |      | to rail |  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  |  | 0 * "                      | 0        | 3   | 2    | 6       | The management of and responsibility for the                                       | 3 | 2 | 6     | Nov 2012  |
| CR9  | Physical       | 4        | Asset Management and Planning  | Consequence<br>Continued use of unsuitable             | AD<br>A Merrick<br>Edwards | Open     | 3   | 2    | 0       | Council property assets is currently split between                                 | 1 | - |       |   |
|      | 1              |          |  | buildings  | M ∈ M                      |          |     |      |         | two directorates.  | 1 |   |       | Work is ongoing to improve the co-ordination of responsibilities as   |
|      |                |          | A need to manage and maintain<br>the Council assets in support of  | Retention of unsuitable buildings                      | ∢ z                        |          |     |      |         | Several initiatives and proposals for maintenance                                  |   |   |       | WCC develop the role of 'Corporate Landlord' between the two  |
|      | 1 1            |          | the Corporate priorities   | neterition of disaltable ballonigs                     |                            |          |     |      |         | programmes and better targeted use of  | 1 |   |       | Directorates  |
|      |                |          | the corporate provides   | Impact   |                            |          |     |      |         | properties have been put forward   |   |   |       | Continued development of a 'One Council' approach to the use of   |
|      | 1 1            |          |  | Increased expenditure and                              |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       | land and assets.  |
|      |                |          | 1  | reduction of opportunities                             |                            |          |     |      |         | It is necessary that clarity of ownership and                                      | ļ |   |       | Development of options and strategy to utilise available properties   |
|      |                |          | 1  | Quality of services impacted due                       | ľ                          |          |     |      |         | control of decision making is determined to  | l |   |       | for community use that are not Council owned property  • it has been recognised these arrangements will need to have  |
|      |                |          |  | to 'less than perfect'                                 |                            |          |     |      |         | ensure effective progress is made.   | 1 |   |       | appropriate regard to the new business rates regime   |
|      |                |          | 1  | environments   |                            |          |     |      |         | ADs to meet and resolve the outstanding issues                                     | 1 |   |       | appropriate regard to the new business rates regard   |
|      |                |          |  |  |                            |          |     | 1    |         | and co-ordinate activities.  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  |  |                            |          |     |      |         | and co-ordinate activities.  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | 1  |  |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
| CR10 | Physical,      | 4        | Assets   | Consequence  | 9 X                        | Open     | 2   | 4    | 8       |  |   |   | 0     |   |
| CKIO | Financial      | -        | A33EC3   | Repeated breakdowns and                                | AD<br>Merrick              | E.P. San |     | 1000 |         | <ul> <li>Options for the deep refurbishment of the Civic</li> </ul>                | d |   |       |   |
|      | rillancia      |          | Civic Centre mechanical and  | system failures  | ž                          |          | 1   |      |         | Centre reported to PGSS and Option 1b  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | electrical infrastructure becomes  | Increasing maintenance costs .                         | ٩ .                        |          |     |      |         | confirmed as the preferred option for detailed                                     |   |   |       |   |
| 3    |                |          | increasingly defective and can   |  |                            |          |     |      |         | implementation planning.   |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | not be maintained  | Impact   |                            |          |     | 1    |         | Cabinet have confirmed Option 1b as the  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  | Building becomes 'unfit for                            |                            |          |     | 1    |         | preferred option for detailed implementation                                       |   |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  | purpose' as an operational centre                      |                            |          |     |      |         | planning.  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  |  |                            |          |     | 1    |         | A Programme Board is to be established to<br>oversee the development of Option 1b. | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          |  |  |                            |          |     |      |         | oversee the development of Option 18.  |   |   |       |   |
| 5044 | Diii           | 4        |  | Consequence  | 0 %                        | Open     | 2   | 4    | 8       | The programme of Condition Surveys for public                                      |   |   | 0     | A Corporate Property Delivery Board is to be established to oversee   |
| CR11 | Physical,      | 4        | Assets   | Repeated breakdowns and                                | AD                         | Spell    | 1   | 1"   |         | buildings and consequential maintenance  |   |   |       | the management and maintenance of Public Buildings.   |
|      | Financial      |          | Estate Assets (Buildings) that are   | system failures  | Mer                        |          | 1   | 1    |         | programme to be reported annual to the Cabinet                                     | t |   | 1     | portion and the second second of the second |
|      |                |          | in poor condition or require   | Increasing maintenance costs .                         | < <                        |          |     | 1    |         | and PGSS to ensure more robust oversight of  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                | 9        | substantial investment are not   |  |                            |          | 1   | 1    |         | current practice.  |   |   |       |   |
| 1    |                |          | brought to the attention of  | Impact   |                            | 1        | 1   |      |         |  | 1 |   |       |   |
|      |                |          | Councillors or Senior  | Building becomes 'unfit for                            |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      |                | 6        | Management   | purpose' as an operational centre                      |                            |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      | 1              |          |  |  | 1                          |          | 1   |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |
|      | 1              | 1        | 1  |  | L                          |          |     |      |         |  |   |   |       |   |

| CP12 | Logal    |                        | Emergency Proposedness/84-1                           | Consequence                          | 0 *             | Open | 12 | T <sub>A</sub> | 12     |  | 12 | 3 | q  | Nov 2012   |
|------|----------|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------|----|----------------|--------|--|----|---|----|--|
| CR12 | Legal    | 4                      | Emergency Preparedness/Major<br>Incident Response     | The council can not comply with      | AD<br>Merrick   | Open | 3  | "              | 12     |  | ٦  | 3 | 3  | 100 2012   |
|      | 1 1      |                        | madent Response                                       | its Civil Contingency Act            | Σ               |      |    |                |        | Proposed Controls  |    |   |    | Main Emergency Plan has been reviewed and updated                  |
|      | 1 1      |                        | The Council is ill prepared and                       | responsibilities.                    | ⋖               |      |    | 1              |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        | has not planned adequately for                        |                                      |                 |      |    | 1              |        | Development and implementation of the Major  | 4  |   |    | Rest Centre and Mortuary Plans to be reviewed and updated – target |
|      | 1 1      |                        | civil emergencies or a major                          | Impact                               |                 |      |    |                |        | Incident Response Plan Project   |    |   |    | date March 2013  |
|      | 1        |                        | incident  | Failure to support the emergency     |                 |      |    |                |        | en e   |    |   |    |  |
|      | ]        |                        | 1.000 entire (10.0000)                                | services                             |                 |      |    |                |        | Updated Emergency Plan procedures and  |    |   |    | Local/Regional combined working options being explored             |
|      |          |                        |   | Failure to protect vulnerable        |                 |      |    | 1              |        | supporting documents   |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | citizens with the potential for loss |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1        |                        | İ   | of life or injury                    |                 |      |    |                |        | Resources identified and roles clarified –   |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        |   | Extended disruption to the           |                 |      |    |                |        | training undertaken  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | community                            |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Reputational damage and              |                 |      |    |                |        | Regular testing and rehearsals   |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        | Local Authority planning regime                       | financial loss                       |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        | is not currently aligned with the                     |                                      |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
| 1    | 1        |                        | Public Health regime                                  | Consequence                          |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
| 1    | 1        |                        | abile ricular regime                                  | Confusion as to roles and            |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        |   | responsibilities in the event of an  |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | emergency                            |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | a to                                 |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Impact                               |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        |   | Failure to support the emergency     |                 |      | i. |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | services                             |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    | 1 |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Failure to protect vulnerable        |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          | Accessed to the second |   | citizens with the potential for loss |                 |      | 1  |                |        | To the second se |    |   |    |  |
| CR13 | Legal    | 4                      | Corporate Responsibilities                            | Consequence                          | SD<br>K Ireland | Open | 2  | 5              | 10     | Existing   | 2  | 5 | 10 | Nov 2012   |
| 1    | 2000     |                        | 200   | Injury or fatality to staff or a     | <u>5</u>        |      |    |                |        | Corporate H&S policies and procedures  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1 1      |                        | Non compliance with our                               | member of the public;                | ~               |      |    |                |        |  |    | 1 |    | Corporate H&S Board now established and operational                |
|      |          |                        | legislative and regulatory                            | Legal challenge to decisions on      |                 |      |    | 1              |        | H&S management systems and training in   |    |   |    | Si ya na sa tuga sa matalata d                                     |
|      |          |                        | requirements  | service changes or service           |                 |      |    |                |        | place  |    |   |    | Directorate – Operational H&S Groups established                   |
|      |          |                        | eg Health and Safety,                                 | delivery                             |                 |      |    | 1              |        | H&S Action plan - for high risk areas;   |    |   |    | Lone Working Policy and Procedures being investigated and a        |
| I    | 1        |                        |   | Impact;                              |                 |      |    |                |        | legionella, asbestos, manual handling  |    |   |    | corporate solution to be implemented                               |
|      |          |                        |   | Corporate Manslaughter charge,       |                 |      |    |                |        | regionena, aspestos, manda nanding   |    |   |    | corporate solution to be implemented                               |
|      |          |                        |   | Individual claims,                   |                 |      |    |                |        | Consultation and impact assessments carried  |    | 1 |    | PVP solution to be implemented                                     |
|      |          |                        |   | Financial penalties for non-         |                 |      |    |                |        | out on key decisions   |    |   |    | AND SANDERS AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND                |
|      |          |                        |   | compliance.                          |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1        |                        |   | Increased external inspection        |                 |      |    |                |        | Planned  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Service changes delayed or           |                 |      |    |                |        | • Formation of Corporate Wellbeing, H&S Board  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | stopped                              |                 |      |    |                |        | to provide an oversight function   |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   |                                      |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | <b>.</b> |                        |   |                                      | 0.7             |      | -  | _              |        |  |    |   |    |  |
| CR14 | Legal    | 4                      | Corporate Responsibilities                            | Consequence                          | SD<br>K Ireland | Open | 3  | 5              | 15     | Planned  |    |   | 0  |  |
|      |          |                        | 41  | Legal challenge to decisions on      | <u> </u>        |      | 1  |                |        | Formation of Corporate Programme     Project Manager to be required.   |    |   |    |  |
| 1    |          |                        | Non compliance with our                               | service changes or service delivery  | ~               |      | 1  |                |        | Project Manager to be recruited     Foundations of basic practice to be re-  | l  | 1 |    |  |
|      |          |                        | legislative and regulatory requirements in respect of | delivery                             |                 |      | 1  |                | 100 mg | Foundations of basic practice to be re- established iro Equality Impact Analyses etc.  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        | Equalities and Consultation                           | Impact;                              |                 | 1    |    |                |        | established no equality hillpact. Analyses etc.  |    |   |    |  |
| 1    | 1        |                        | Equanties and consultation                            | Financial penalties for non-         |                 |      |    |                | 75518  |  | 1  |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | compliance.                          |                 |      | 1  |                |        |  | l  | E |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Increased external inspection        |                 |      | 1  |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      |          |                        |   | Service changes delayed or           |                 |      | 1  |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
|      | 1        |                        |   | slopped                              |                 |      |    |                |        |  |    |   |    |  |
| 1    | 1        |                        | I   | 100/00/84/84/14/07                   |                 |      | 1  | 1              |        |  | 1  | l |    |  |

| -    |                     |   |   | r= -,  | 0.71            |      | Ta       | Te | 2.5 |   | 2  | S | 10     | Nov 2012  |
|------|---------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|------|----------|----|-----|---|----|---|--------|---|
| CR15 | Legal,<br>Financial | 4 | Equal Pay and Single Status   | Ongoing equal pay claims   | SD<br>K Ireland | Open | 3        | 5  | 13  | Programme established with individual project work streams  |    | 3 | 10     | Programme on track to deliver implementation of compliant pay                                       |
|      |                     |   | The Council has yet to reach an affordable Single Status Pay            | Potential for increasing numbers of equal pay claims   |                 |      |          |    |     | Equal Pay   |    |   |        | structure wef 01/04/13  External review by PWC of the Council's arrangement for managing            |
|      |                     |   | agreement and comply with<br>Equal Pay legislation                      | Ongoing and increasing financial   |                 |      |          |    |     | Clear strategy established for handling current<br>and future claims following external legal advice                |    |   |        | Single Status   |
|      |                     |   |   | liabílity.   |                 |      |          |    |     | Realistic provision for current and probable liabilities  |    |   |        | Review of key project documentation, reports, risk registers and minutes of key governance meetings |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    |     | Single Status   |    |   |        | No issues identified in respect of the governance structures and procedures to manage risks         |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    |     | Job Families methodology for Job Evaluation   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    |     | approved and implemented • Active engagement of Line Management in the assessment/allocation process                |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      | ļ        |    |     | Regular and full reports to key stakeholder   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    |     | groups  |    |   |        |   |
| CR16 | Governance          | 4 | Information Governance  | Consequences   | SD<br>K Ireland | Open | 3        | 4  | 12  | Proposed  | 3  | 4 | 12     | Nov 2012  |
|      |                     |   | The Council and/or its business   | Loss of confidentiality:   | ~               |      |          |    |     | <ul> <li>Information Governance Board now set up to<br/>establish strategy and determine individual work</li> </ul> |    |   |        | IG Board now established and operational     Work programme and resources mapped out                |
|      |                     |   | operations do not fully know the<br>extent, of the information it holds | Inappropriate disclosure of  |                 |      |          |    |     | streams to address issues   | ļ. |   |        | Recruitment into IG Officer post underway   |
|      |                     |   | and how it handles its security,  | information;   |                 |      |          |    |     | Working with the Information Commissioners  |    |   |        | Presentation to SEB/CDB completed   |
|      |                     |   | sensitivity and importance.   |  |                 | ļ    |          |    |     | Office to build robust systems  |    |   |        | HoS presentations to be scheduled     Particular focus on key Service areas of high risk potential  |
|      |                     |   |   | Lack of comprehensive oversight, control and data ownership.                                   |                 | į.   |          |    |     |   |    |   |        | 3. Operational IG Structure to be implemented   |
|      |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          | ł  |     |   |    |   |        | 4. Draft policies written   |
|      |                     |   |   | Critical information is wrongly<br>destroyed, not kept, cannot be<br>found when needed or lost |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | Impact   |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | Financial – including potential<br>substantial fines and wasting                               |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | public money;<br>Legal – exposure to fraud,  |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | malicious acts etc.;   |                 |      | 1        |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | Reputational damage – poor<br>media coverage, inability to                                     |                 |      | <i>u</i> |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | recover from major incidents and   |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | customers suffer.  |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
| CR17 | Governance          | 4 | Organisational Change   | Consequence  | SD              | Open | 3        | 5  | 15  | Existing  | 3  | 5 | 15     | Nov 2012  |
|      |                     |   | Pace that the organisation needs  | Conflicting demands on resources<br>which are limited and frequently                           | (Ire            | Open |          |    |     | Corporate decision on the clear priority order  |    |   |        | Controls ongoing  |
|      |                     |   | to change given the broader   | the same ones  |                 |      |          |    |     | and realistic timescales agreed   |    |   |        | 9 101   |
| E    |                     |   | agenda  | Impact Inability to deliver it all at the  |                 |      |          |    |     | Additional resources sourced to supplement in-<br>hose resources  |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | same time  |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   | Car Va |   |
|      |                     |   |   | Projects are not delivered on<br>time/fail or incomplete                                       |                 |      |          |    |     | Performance monitoring and assurance  |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | Organisation becomes stressed  |                 |      |          |    |     | Programme and project management  |    |   |        |   |
|      |                     |   |   | and performance drops  |                 |      |          |    |     | disciplines consistently rolled out   |    |   |        |   |
| -9   |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    |     |   |    |   |        |   |
| 1    |                     |   |   |  |                 |      |          |    | -   |   |    |   | _      |   |

| CR18 | Finance,<br>Technological  | 4          | Shared Service TP  The existing back office practices, procedures, ict technology and systems will not allow the organisational and cultural change needed for the Authority to become a Confident, Capable Council.  |  | SD<br>K Ireland   | Open | 3 | 5 | 15   | Replace Information & Communication Technology (ICT) Infrastructure and in particular replacing the current business support applications that support key Finance, HR, Payroll and Procurement functions and the associated management Information systems.   | 2 | 5 | 10  | Nov 2012  Programme on track to  • Award of contract 01/04/13  • Implementation – 01/04/14  External review by PWC of the key areas of the programme including  • Governance and reporting  • Stakeholder management  • Scope definition  • Risk and issue management  • Planning  Conclusion – the Council has  • Robust project and risk management arrangements  • Plans are in place for the development of an effective assurance framework over the lifecycle of the programme |
|------|----------------------------|------------|---|--|---|------|---|---|------|--|---|---|-----|--|
| CR19 | Economic<br>Financial      | 1, 2, 3, 4 | Revenue and Capital Budget Unforeseen financial pressures due to demand for Council services exceeding estimates Changes in Government planning/allocation Lack of robust financial planning and management   | Consequence; Under/over spends, reduction in reserves to support service delivery Impact Identified savings options not achieved Impacts on service delivery and quality both immediate and long term Failure to deliver revenue and capital budgets Regeneration initiatives not delivered  | CFO M Taylor  | Open | 3 | 5 | 15   | Medium Term Financial Strategy  Planning and financial management processes in the MTFS  Regular budget monitoring  Detailed performance monitoring and reports to SEB and Cabinet  Ongoing monitoring of Government funding and allocations  Direct finance support and training to Directorate and Service areas  Regular review of the MTFS for adequacy of resources, trends and demands   | 3 | 5 | 15  | Nov 2012  External PWC review of the MTFS  • assumptions  • financial position and plans  • regular reports  • savings plans and strategies  PWC conclusion  • Assumptions in line with similar authorities  • No areas of significant concern although acknowledging the challenges to delivery   |
| CR20 | Financial<br>Technological | 4          | Payroll Payroll service, HR and ICTS can not meet the combined demands of the statutory system and record changes required to Payroll (Auto-Pension Enrolment and Real Time information for HMRC) in conjunction with the delivery of changes required for Single Status Implementation | Consequence The Council fails to meet its statutory compliance deadlines Failure to implement the changes to individual records as a result of SS implementation and staff are paid incorrectly Impact Substantial financial penalties imposed by Government departments Increase costs and potential fines to LGPS Financial penalties/costs incurred by Staff as a result of our failures Severe reputational damage | CFO<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH<br>OH | Open |   | 3 | 5 15 | Congoing pressures on Payroll as a result of meeting existing compliance demands with a system that is not 'fit for purpose' are managed by manual intervention. Payroll service can not deliver the new changes with the current establishment  Proposed  Project team being established to manage the actions and changes need for each 'project' — Auto-enrolment and Real Time Information — to ensure an integrated approach. Additional resources will be required to backfill staff dedicated to the project team.  Project team to liaise with the SS Team and agree an integrated approach to the payroll changes needed as a result of SS Implementation and manage the conflicting demands. | Ē | 4 | 122 | Nov 2012  Proposed controls are now in place  1. Programme Board established  2. Project teams established  3. Project plans drawn up and key milestones mapped  Auto Enrolment  1. Lack of clarity from the Pensions regulator hindering progress  Potential for significant workload and other issues (Payroll and Corporately) if we do not actually adjust pay from 01/04/13 under SS  |

| CR21 | Professional, | 4 Fit for Purpose Organisation  | Consequence  | S ≧ Open | 3 | 4 | 12 | Existing   | 3 | 4 | 12 | November 2012   |
|------|---------------|---|--|----------|---|---|----|--|---|---|----|---|
|      | Managerial    | Lack of professional skills and   | Over reliance on a 'few' not necessarily professionally  | K Irela  |   |   |    | HR Improvement programme   |   |   |    | Need to establish links to HR Programme and Transformation Programme. |
|      |               | attributes to implement the<br>Corporate Changes needed to<br>produce a 'fit for purpose'<br>organisation | competent in the specific area but<br>'capable' of delivery<br>Impact<br>Inability to deliver it all at the<br>same time |          |   |   |    | Identification of gaps and resource appropriately     Develop and implement structured workforce plans |   |   |    | i Vojalinia.  |
|      |               |   | Projects not delivered on time or are incomplete  Loss of focus on 'core' areas of                                       |          |   |   |    | Proposed  • Cultural and attitudinal change programme/initiative                                       |   |   |    |   |
|      |               |   | service and development  Failure to respond to the organisational change agenda in a coherent manner                     |          |   |   |    |  |   |   |    |   |

# **Wolverhampton City Council Risk Map**

|             | High          | 5 |          |                 |             |                    |                        |
|-------------|---------------|---|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| <b> </b>    | Medium / High | 4 |          |                 | CR4 CR5     |                    | CR8                    |
| PROBABILITY | Medium        | 3 |          | CR9             | CR2 CR3     |                    | CR1 CR14 CR17<br>CR19  |
| A.          | Medium / Low  | 2 |          |                 |             | CR10 CR11          | CR13 CR15 CR18<br>CR16 |
|             | Low           | 1 |          |                 |             |                    |                        |
|             | IMPACT        |   | 1<br>Low | 2<br>Low/Medium | 3<br>Medium | 4<br>Medium / High | 5<br>High              |